Case 2:12-cv-01694-GMN-PAL Document 23 Filed 04/17/13 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jory C. Garabedian, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10352 jgarabedian@mileslegal.com MILES, BAUER, BERGSTROM & WIN 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 250 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 369-5960/Fax: (702) 942-0411 MBBW File No. 12-L0513 Attorneys for Defendants BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.	TERS, LLP ATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTR	CICT OF NEVADA	
11	Perry and Wanda Turpin,) Case No.: 2:12-cv-01694-GMN-PAL	
12)	
13	Plaintiff(s),	<i>)</i>	
14	-vs-) <u>DISCOVERY PLAN</u>	
15	Bank of America, N.A.)	
16 17	PO BOX 650070 Dallas, Texas 75265))	
18	Defendant(s).)	
19	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

DISCOVERY PLAN

Defendant Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA") by and through its attorneys of record, the law firm of Miles, Bauer, Bergstrom & Winters, LLP, and Plaintiffs Perry and Wanda Turpin ("Plaintiffs"), appearing pro se, having held their Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference on March 28, 2013, hereby submit their Discovery Plan pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(f)(2)-(3).

A. CHANGES IN THE TIMING, FORM OR REQUIREMENT FOR DISCLOSURES UNDER RULE 26(A), INCLUDING A STATEMENT OF WHEN INITIAL DISCLOSURES WERE MADE OR WILL BE MADE.

Plaintiffs and BANA agree that disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) should be stayed until the Court rules on BANA's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 11). The Motion to Dismiss is fully briefed and waiting for the Court's ruling. The Motion to Dismiss seeks to dismiss the entire Complaint on file in this matter, and BANA anticipates it will be granted based on previous experiences with foreclosure type complaints. Plaintiffs and BANA agree that disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(A) should be made within 14 days of holding a supplemental Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference, which should be held within 21 days after the Court rules on the Motion to Dismiss assuming any claims survive the Motion to Dismiss.

B. THE SUBJECT ON WHICH DISCOVERY MAY BE NEEDED, WHEN DISCOVERY SHOULD BE COMPLETED, AND WHETHER DISCOVERY SHOULD BE CONDUCTED IN PHASES OR BE LIMITED TO OR FOCUSED ON PARTICULAR ISSUES.

Plaintiffs and BANA agree that discovery should be stayed until the Court rules on BANA's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 11). The Motion to Dismiss is fully briefed and waiting for the Court's ruling. The Motion to Dismiss seeks to dismiss the entire Complaint on file in this matter, and BANA anticipates it will be granted based on previous experiences with foreclosure

complaints. Staying discovery will save the parties time and cost on causes of action and issues, which may be ultimately dismissed. In the event that some, but not all of Plaintiffs' claims or causes of action are dismissed, the parties will still be in a better position to narrow down discovery issues and provide the Court with a better timeline for discovery.

C. ISSUES ABOUT DISCLOSURE OR DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE FORM OR FORMS IN WHICH IT SHOULD BE PRODUCED.

At this time, Plaintiffs and BANA do not anticipate there will be any issues concerning the discovery of electronically stored information.

D. ANY ISSUES ABOUT CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE OR OF PROTECTION AS TRIAL - PREPARATION MATERIALS, INCLUDING - IF THE PARTIES AGREE ON A PROCEDURE TO ASSERT THESE CLAIMS AFTER PRODUCTION - WHETHER TO ASK THE COURT TO INCLUDE THEIR AGREEMENT IN AN ORDER.

Plaintiffs and BANA agree to revisit this issue at the supplemental Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference, which should be held within 21 days after the Court rules on the Motion to Dismiss assuming any claims survive BANA's Motion to Dismiss.

E. WHAT CHANGES SHOULD BE MADE IN THE LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY IMPOSED UNDER THESE RULES OR BY LOCAL RULE, AND WHAT OTHER LIMITATIONS SHOULD BE IMPOSED.

See Plaintiffs' and BANA's view as set forth in Sections A & B.

| | / /

28 ||

ANY OTHER ORDERS THAT THE COURT SHOULD ISSUE UNDER F. 1 RULE 26(C) OR UNDER RULE 16(B) AND (C). 2 See Plaintiffs' and BANA's view as set forth in Sections A & B. 3 4 DATED this // day of March, 2013. 5 DATED this ___ day of March 2013. 6 MILES, BAUER, BERGSTROM & PERRY TURPIN 7 WINTERS, LLP 8 9 5204 Wapiti Point Court Jory C. Garabedian, Esq. Las Vegas, NV 89130 10 Nevada Bar No. 10352 2200 Paseo Verde Pkwy, Suite 250 Plaintiff, pro se 11 Henderson, Nevada 89052 Attorney for Defendant: 12 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. WANDA TURPIN 13 14 5204 Wapiti Point Court 15 Las Vegas, NV 89130 16 Plaintiff, pro se 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on	
3	, ,	
4	copy of the foregoing DISCOVERY PLAN via First Class United States Mail, postage pre-paid	
5	to the parties addressed below:	
6		
7	Perry & Wanda Turpin 5204 Wapiti Point Court	
8	Las Vegas, Nv 89130	
9	Plaintiffs, pro se	
10		
11	An Employee of MILES, BAUER,	
12	BERGSTROM & WINTERS, LLP	
13		
14 		
15		
16 17		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	.1	